Company of	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/910,663	HUBBELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1714
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>abandoned</u>		
(1) <u>Peter Szekely</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Carol Schneider</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 February 2004	Time: <u>4:15 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ms. Schneider stated that the application has been abandoned in favor of a continuation.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
LA		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)